instituto valenciano de investigaciones agrarias

EFFECT OF REDUCING POWEROF SUGARS IN BOAR SPERMGraviasCRYOPRESERVATION



<mark>Junta de Castilla y León</mark> Consejería de Agricultura y Ganadería

J. Gómez-Fernández¹, C. Tomás², E. Mocé², E. Gómez-Izquierdo¹, E. de Mercado¹ ¹ Centro de Pruebas de Porcino, ITACyL. Hontalbilla (Segovia). Spain ² CITA-IVIA. Segorbe (Castellón). Spain

Introduction and Aims

How sugars protect sperm during cryopreservation is not clear. The aim of this study was to determine if their protective effect could be attributed to their reducing power. The effect of four sugars on the quality of frozen-thawed boar sperm was evaluated. Lactose, maltose and cellobiose presented reducing power, while trehalose did not.





<u>Material and Methods</u>

Cryopreservation

Pool sperm-rich fractions from 3 fertile boars (5 ejaculates/boar)

Freezing extender

20% egg yolk + 80% sugar (310 mM)

Lactose

Maltose

Trehalose

Cellobiose

Freezing at 0.5 mL straws (1 x 10⁹ cells/mL) in a programmable freezer.

Thawing

Straws were thawed at 37°C for 20 sec

Samples were incubated during 30 min in a waterbath at 37°C

<section-header>Sperm AssessmentSperm motilityLive spermImage: Sperm control of the sperm control of the spermImage: Sperm control of the sperm control of the spermImage: Sperm control of the sperm control of the spermImage: Sperm control of the sperm control of the

significant effect on the percentage of post-thaw motile or viable spermatozoa (P > 0.05).









ISAS® (Proiser, Spain)

- Total motile sperm

- Progressively motile sperm

flow cytometry (SYBR14/propidium iodide)

<u>Conclusion</u>: The cryoprotective effect of the sugars for boar semen is not

attributed to their reducing power